

Minutes of a Regular Meeting of the City Council
Austin, Texas, October 1st, 1846.

Hon. Lewis H. Wood, Mayor, presiding.
Bill Clegg.

Present Aldermen Beatty, Fischer, Glass, Gault, Haynes, Horton, Linn,
Mitscher, Pratt, Powell, Read, Richardson, Schmidly, Shultz, Smith,
Taylor, Tobin, Townsend and Turner W.

Absent Alderman Glasear, Lawrence and T. Langford.

Alderman Shelley moved to dispense with the reading of minutes,
and that the same be referred as printed. Carried.

Alderman Linn moved to suspend the regular order of business to
allow him to present an application of Recorder Cartleton for
leave of absence. Carried.

Alderman Linn then moved that leave of absence be granted
the Recorder for one week, commencing October 1st, which
motion was carried.

By Alderman Tobin. Petition of citizens of Gram's Addition
asking that the provisions of the stock law be extended to include
the Gram's Addition. Referred to Police Committee.

By Alderman Mitscher, Petition of S. N. Goldbeck in regard to the
use of West Twenty-seventh Street. Read and referred to the Stock
Committee.

By Alderman Pratt. Petition of John D. Brinkin asking authority
and funds, Major Deacon to erect a public scale on Trinity Street, between Fifth and Sixth
Trinity Street. On motion of Alderman Pratt the petition was granted.

Pet. of Citizens on N.W. St. By Alderman Shelley. Petition of citizens of West Elmwood Street
asking that certain improvements be made on West Elmwood Street.
The petition was referred to the Street Committee with power
to act.

Reports of City Officers. The reports of City Officers for the month of August were then laid
before the Council, and on motion the same were referred to their
appropriate committees without reading.

Afternoon Session and Session entered the Council Chamber.
The following is a synopsis of the reports of the City Officers for
the month of August:

City Assessors Collection

By the City Assessors and Collector:
of collections as follows:

General Revenue Tax.	\$ 8709.63
Interest and Dining Fund, Waterworks Tax.	6949.00
Interest on old Bonds	604.40
Water Tax.	
Total.	<u>2363.65</u>
	\$ 18,692.08

Reported to Finance Committee.

On the City Clerk.

Warrant issued in the amount of \$1000.00
Bills paid and audited by the Comptroller, J. M. - 2744.00
- Original to Auditor, Comptroller

On the City Clerk.

Balance on hand General Fund	\$ 1134.82
Balance on hand Internal and External Banks.	1027.24
Balance on hand Savings Fund	2558.13
Balance on hand Waterworks and Electric Light Fund.	33,140.78
Balance on hand Internal and External Waterworks Bond.	27,857.11

Referred to Finance Committee.

By the City Marshal.

Total amount of fine assessed in Recorder's Court. \$ 3,000.00

Amount paid in Cash \$ 184.50

Written off 174.00

Carried over 58.50 \$ 345.00

Collected on back fines 24.00

Round fees for August 34.00

Total number of arrests, 54.

Referred to Police Committee.

By the City Physician

Number of Patients in Hospital August 1st 1896.

" " admitted during	" "	70
" " discharged	" "	17
" Deaths	" "	16
" Patients remaining	" 31 st	13
" Visits made to Patients during August	" "	79
" Prescriptions	" "	165
" Cases of Diphtheria	" "	1
" " Scarlet Fever	" "	1

General health of the city is good.

Referred to Hospital Committee.

By the City Sexton.

Total number of Deaths during August 1896. 19

White. 18

Colored. 1

Male. 17

Female. 2

Referred to Cemetery Committee.

The City Auditor reported that he had examined the books and reports of the City Clerk and the City Assessor and Collector and found them correct. Also that he had examined the receipts and deposits with the City Treasurer of the Savings Fund, and the monthly reports of the City Treasurer, and found the same correct.

Report of Director of the Water and Light Dept., presented his report, showing 11 new consumers of water for the month and six old consumers again connected, making forty-three additions. Total, one with six more than ever, namely, two for "new" and three old, by reason of removal or reconnection. The standard rate of water rates were as follows:

For 100,000 ft.³ or less, 100 ft.³ per day, charges will be 1.25. For 100,000 ft.³ or more, 100 ft.³ per day, charges will be 1.50.

City Marshal.

City Physician

City Sexton

Report of City Auditor.

few by request. Total number of consumers August 31st 1896, 851.
The receipts for the month were as follows:

For Water	\$ 1153.80
For Electric Light	1118.96
For Electric Power	<u>341.97</u>
Total	\$ 3914.50

There was also collected and redeposited from sundry persons the sum of \$14.11.

Total number of gallons of water pumped during the month 150,664,431
Average number of gallons pumped per twenty four hours was 6,861.154.
Bills and accounts approved for payment during the month were \$103.66.

On motion the report was ordered filed.

Alfred Lewis report in regard Alderman Fisher for the Street Committee, to whom was referred the
to bridge over Stearns branch report of the City Engineer in regard to the bridge across Stearns
Branch, South Austin, presented their report, recommending that the
bridge be repaired at once. Adopted.

W. H. Maddox read his resignation as Asst. Col. Alderman Hayes moved that Superintendent Maddox be allowed
to read his resignation.

Alderman Taylor moved that the matter be postponed to come up
after the regular order of business. Lost.

The motion of Alderman Hayes was then adopted. Superintendent
Maddox then tendered his resignation, to take effect when his
successor had been appointed and qualified.

Superintendent's resignation Alderman Shelley moved that the resignation be accepted to take
effect at once, which motion prevailed by the following vote:

Yes, Aldermen Fischer, Coath, Rawless, Stitschka, Platt, Robertson,
Shelley, Stumpf, Taylor, Jobin and Gilker. 11.

Nays Aldermen Beatty, Glass, Hayes, Norton, Timm, Powell, Redd,
Washington, Schneider and Townsend 10

Res. on Claims & Wts. Alderman Platt, for the Committee on Claims and Accounts, to whom
report on claims of the City was referred the claims of Mrs. Annie J. Huddle and Mrs. Mary Miller
Huddle & Mrs. H. Miller for damages to land overflowed by the Seine, presented their report,
damages to land overflowed which was read and ordered to lie over to come up under the head
of new business.

Finance Com. report on
bids received for Austin
Dam. Bonds for investment
of Drinking Fund Alderman Townsend, for the Finance Committee, presented the fol-
lowing report, which was read:

Austin, Texas, Sept. 1st 1896.

To the Honorable City Council:

Gentlemen, The Finance Committee and the Mayor, by ordinance
charged with the duty of investing the drinking fund, beg leave
to report that they have advertised for bids for from \$500,000.00 to \$1,000,000.00
Austin City Bonds for said fund. After much time a bid was re-
ceived as follows for Johnson County:

\$1,000,000.00 at 4% interest, 10 years.

\$1,000,000.00 in bonds, 10 years.

\$1,000,000.00 in bonds, 10 years.

\$1,000,000.00 in bonds, 10 years.

Two thousand dollars at 9 $\frac{1}{2}$ and interest.

Five thousand to \$10,000 at par, flat.

One thousand dollars 6 per cent Bonds at 10 $\frac{1}{2}$ and interest.

These offers were most of them subject to sale of the Bonds if the offer was immediately accepted, and the Committee not being authorized to close any bonds for Bonds, could only receive them subject to the action of the Council. In the meantime all the best offers have been withdrawn. The Bonds offered the City at 9 $\frac{1}{2}$, 10 $\frac{1}{2}$ and 11 $\frac{1}{2}$ are no longer obtainable. Under the circumstances the Committee does not feel justified in recommending the purchase of Bonds at prices higher than these, when better offers have been made in the last few weeks.

The Committee believe it best to advertise over again for bids to be opened on September 9th the day of the next regular Council meeting at which meeting the best offer can be accepted, the money appropriated, and the matter closed at once, and will take this course unless objection is offered.

As bids are to be advertised for over again, the Committee think it fair to all bidders that the names of none of the bidders be disclosed.

Very respectfully,
Lewis Hancock, Mayor;
C. W. Townsend,
C. G. Scott,

W. D. Shelly, Finance Committee.

On motion, the report of the Committee was adopted and the Committee authorized to advertise again for bids.

Com. on Claims & Accounts Viderman & Platt, for the Committee on Claims and Accounts, to whom report on beh. of R Davis was referred the petition of G. R. Davis for damages to person asked for damages, with further time for further time in which to make their report. Granted.

The report of the Fire Commissioners on the application of North Fire Commissioners report Austin Fire Company was read, and on motion the report was on North Austin Fire Co. laid over to come up under the head of new business.

The Mayor then read the following message to the Council:

Mayors Message in
regard to management of
W. T. Plant.

To the Honorable City Council:
Gentlemen, In pursuance of the ordinance governing the Water and Light Plant, passed at your Special Session on the 29th of August, and your action under the same, I notified Messrs. John H. Stucke and Walter Johnson of their appointment in temporary charge of the plant, and addressed the following letter to Mr. John W. Muddox:

Mayors Office August 31st 1896.

John W. Muddox, Esq., Present:

Dear Sir, in pursuance of the ordinance passed by the City Council of its session on the 29th of August, I appointed Mr. Walter Johnson as temporary manager of the Water and Light Plant. After a careful examination of his qualifications, we are now ready to re-arrange the business of the plant.

"Upon letters to Dr. J.
Hodson."

Independent.

Respectfully,

"Lewis Hancock, Mayor."

for which I received the following reply:

Austin, Tex., August 31st, 1896.

Mr. Lewis Hancock, Mayor of the City of Austin:

Dear Sir, In reply to your communication of this date, informing you that I am "relieved of duty as Superintendent," I desire to say that I do not so understand the action taken by the City Council and by you as Mayor of the City.

I am, very respectfully,

(Signed) "Geo. W. Maddox, Superintendent."

I thereupon made a careful examination of the ordinance in question and of its effect upon the control of the Plant. I found that certain preliminaries had been omitted which, perhaps, resulted in delaying temporarily the taking effect of the changes contemplated by said ordinance, but that such preliminaries could be readily complied with and the ordinance put into immediate effect, provided the ordinance itself was not invalidated by causes outside of itself. But a further examination disclosed the fact that this ordinance, passed August 29, 1896, and the original ordinance for the government of the Water and Light Plant, passed January 9, 1895, contained many clauses imposing forfeitures and penalties, and that neither of said ordinances had ever been published for ten days, as is required by section 31 of the City Charter, the effect of which, seemed to be to render inoperative both ordinances. I submitted the matter to the City Attorney and received the following reply:

Austin, Tex., September 7th, 1896.

Mr. Lewis Hancock, Mayor, Austin Texas.

Dear Sir, At your request I have carefully examined the original and amended ordinances providing for the government of the City Water and Light Plant, the first of which was adopted on the 9th day of January, 1895, and the other on the 31st day of August, 1896, as well as several other amendments to the first named ordinance.

I find that neither the original ordinance nor the amendment of August 29 have been published in conformity with the Charter, and am, therefore, of the opinion that they have never been in effect. It will be observed that these two ordinances impose fines and forfeitures for the violation of several of their provisions, and while they are not altogether penal in character, yet the sections defining the penalties and forfeitures are so interwoven with, and dependent upon, the others that in my opinion, no part of either ordinance would take effect after publication in full. Section 31, page 9 of the present Charter of the City of Austin, contains the following provision: "No new ordinance in process of law shall be in force, or its implementation, for more than six months, unless it is approved by the City Council, and then it shall be in force for one year." I hope this will be of service to you.

Draft Maddox letter to
Mayor.

City Attorney's
letter to Mayor.

publication has been completed."

Similar charter provisions have frequently been construed by the law, and the rule seems clearly established that where the publication is made a condition precedent to the taking effect of an ordinance, the requirement must be complied with in order to put the ordinance into effect. The following authorities, among numerous others, sustain this position:

"Willow on Municipal Corporations, volume I, section 33.

"Fidderon on Municipal Corporations, section 163, page 263.

"American and English Encyclopedia of Law, volume IV, page 841

"This citation of authorities could be greatly enlarged, but I think an examination of those here referred to, together with the care sustaining the law laid down, will justify the conclusion at which I have arrived. After an examination of these ordinances, and a discovery of what I regarded was a serious omission, likely to produce confusion, I thought it best to prepare a substitute for all ordinances on this subject, separating the penal provisions from those not of a penal character, and embodying such in two separate ordinances.

"The result of this you will find in the two ordinances which I enclose with enclosure,

In the preparation of these ordinances I had the valuable assistance of Hon. W^m F. Worth, the author of the first ordinance on this subject, and to him am indebted for the very efficient aid he has rendered. I believe that you will find that the substitutes preserve everything of value contained in the original ordinances, and simplify matters by removing all question that has arisen because of the omission to make publication as required by the charter.

"The sections of the original and amended ordinances denouncing penalties and forfeitures are as follows:

Sections 11, 13, 16, 28 and 34.

Very respectfully,

(Signed) "Geo. F. Pendexter, "City Attorney".

If the opinion herein expressed is correct, and it seems to me that it is, the consequences are grave and far-reaching. It follows that the original Water and Light ordinance passed January 1st 1895, has never gone into effect, and that all acts done under it, including the election of a Water and Light Commission and the selection of a Superintendent, are invalid.

It further follows that all amendments thereto, including the ordinance passed August 29th, are certainly inoperative, and probably void. The original ordinance, passed January 1st 1895, might certainly be put into effect by publication for ten days as required by the charter. But this would be very costly to the City, it is true, but it would be, and continuing new provisions will be necessary in the charter. And, further, the older ordinances relating to water and light, ordinances, including the original, August 29th, are illegal, except, perhaps, retaining the sections relating to appointment and removal, but even

to be passed over again and to be published for ten days. It therefore follows that if the opinion of the City Attorney is well founded, there is no ordinance in force governing or protecting the City Water and Light Plant, exhibiting water, controlling tapping, pumping, waste, etc. This condition of affairs should be remedied at once and all doubt, let it rest as to questions raised.

In view of the gravity of this subject, I requested the City Attorney and Mayor's Clerk, the author of the original Water and Light ordinance, to prepare a new ordinance, or substitute for, the existing one. There is no ordinance, but ordinances; one containing three or four sections, are surely, even, in their character, and which can go into operation immediately upon its passage, and the other a short ordinance containing all the penal provisions, and which can be published without undue expense to the City.

In compliance with my request, Mr. Worth and the City Attorney together prepared the two ordinances referred to above in the City Attorney's letter, and which I herewith lay before you. I recommend that they receive your immediate consideration, and that they be passed at the earliest practicable moment. As the two together are substantially a compilation or re-enactment of what the Council has already done, or at least wished to do, I trust they will meet your unanimous approval and be speedily enacted into law.

Respectfully,

Lewis Hancock, Mayor.

Alderman Taylor moved to suspend the regular order of business and that the Council take up the Water and Light ordinances mentioned in the Mayor's message, which motion prevailed.

Alderman Link was excused from further attendance at this session of the Council.

Ord. providing for management of the Water and Light plant before the Council. an ordinance entitled "an ordinance providing for the management and operation of the Waterworks, Electric Light and Power Plants of the City, and establishing rules and regulations for the government thereof and fixing rates for service."

The ordinance was read the first time and, on motion of Alderman Heath, the rules were suspended and the ordinance was placed upon its second reading by the following vote:

Dr. Alderman Heath, Fisher, Glass, Heath, Hanes, Harton, Linwells, Nichols, Platt, Padd, Peterman, Rosenbaum, Schneider, Shelleny, Stumpf, Taylor, Tamm, Townsend and Weller 10.
Alderman Hancock Powell 1.

The ordinance was then read a second time by caption.

Mr. Wren, authorized to read an amendment, moved that the City Council be adjourned. He was seconded by Mr. Nichols, voted, and carried. At the adjournment of the Council, Alderman Wren, in company with Mr. Nichols, went into the office of the City Attorney, and presented his amendment to him.

Mr. Nichols read the amendment and carried it over to the City Attorney, who read it over and said, "I will consider it."

City Alderman Fisher, Roberton, Shelley, Oliver, Taylor, Schmidle, etc.
Ord. providing for protection of the Mayor, they laid before the Council an ordinance entitled "An ordinance
of the City of Austin, light plants etc., providing for the protection of the Mayor and certain Light and Power
Plants, and also making property of the City of Austin and punishing penalties
for the violation of its provisions:

The ordinance was read a second time and a motion made by Alderman
Wilson to suspend the rules and have the ordinance on its second
reading was lost by the following vote:

Yea: Alderman Fisher, Hale, Hart, H. L. Nichols, Powell, Roberton,
Shelley, Stumpf, Taylor, Tamm, Townsend and, Viles 13.

Nay: Alderman Cleary, Glass, Haynes, Platt, Ridd, Rosenbaum and
Schmidle 7.

On motion Aldermen Fisher and Schmidt were excused from further
attendance at this session of the Council.

Alderman Fisher moved to suspend the regular order of business to
allow Alderman Powell to offer a resolution. Carried.

Alderman Powell offered the following resolution, which was read

Resolution by Ald. Powell and adopted:

authorizing City Marshal to be res. v'd, that the Marshal be empowered to employ one
employee for the month of September to enforce the dog ordinance
of Sept. to enforce dog ordinance at a salary not to exceed forty dollars.

On motion Alderman Stockton was excused from further attendance
at this session of the Council.

Alderman Ridd moved that the Council adjourn until Wednesday
night next. Lost.

City Engineer granted two. On motion of Alderman Townsend the City Engineer was granted
one week of absence from Dept. a leave of absence for two weeks from the 10th inst.

Alderman Nichols moved to suspend the regular order of business
and take up the appropriation bills, which motion prevailed.

Ord. Appropriating \$500 for extra City labor. Alderman J. H. May, the ordinance appropriating the sum of \$500.
Alderman Fisher

Alderman Fisher was excused from further attendance at this
session of the Council.

The ordinance was passed under suspension of the rules by the
following vote:

Yea: Alderman Fisher, Glass, Hale, Haynes, Lawrence, Nichols,
Platt, Powell, Ridd, Roberton, Rosenbaum, Shelley, Stumpf, Taylor,
Townsend and Viles 16.

Nay: Viles.

Ord appropriating \$46. to pay extra janitorial labor for Dept. X for extra janitorial services for the month of October 1890.

The ordinance was read, voted on and carried. The vote was
as follows: Alderman Fisher, Glass, Hale, Haynes, Lawrence, Nichols,
Platt, Powell, Ridd, Roberton, Rosenbaum, Shelley, Stumpf, Taylor,
Townsend and Viles 16.

Nay: Viles.

The ordinance was then read a second time for adoption. Alderman Gilkes moved to amend by adding "and extra terms". Alderman Fisher moved to amend the amendment of Alderman Gilkes by making the amendment of Alderman Gilkes instead of his own.

✓ Alderman Smith moved to adjourn ^{until} 8:30 p.m. Wednesday, the 9th inst. Lost by the following vote:

Deas Alderman Fischer, Glass, Goeth, Haynes, Nichols, Platt, Powell, Predd, Townsend, Shelley, Stumpf and Gilkes 11.
Ayes Aldermen Fisher, Glass, Goeth, Haynes, Nichols, Platt, Powell, Predd, Townsend, Rosengren, Shelley, Stumpf, Taylor, Townsend & Gilkes 15.

The vote was then taken on the amendment offered by Alderman Fisher, and the same was lost.

The amendment of Alderman Gilkes was lost.

On motion of Alderman Fischer the rules were further suspended and the ordinance placed upon its third reading and final passage by the following vote:

Deas Alderman Fischer, Glass, Goeth, Haynes, Nichols, Platt, Powell, Predd, Townsend, Rosengren, Shelley, Stumpf, Taylor, Townsend & Gilkes 15.
Ayes None!

Ord. appropriating \$345.00 On Alderman Townsend, an ordinance appropriating the sum of \$345.00 out of Earnings Fund to pay out of the Earnings Fund for the purpose of paying Unearned Licenses. Approved Accounts

The ordinance was passed under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Deas Alderman Fischer, Glass, Goeth, Haynes, Nichols, Platt, Powell, Predd, Townsend, Rosengren, Shelley, Stumpf, Taylor, Townsend & Gilkes 15.
Ayes None.

Ord. appropriating \$110.00 On Alderman Townsend, an ordinance appropriating the sum of \$110.00 out of General Fund to pay out of the General Fund to pay Unearned Accounts. Approved Accounts

The ordinance was read first time and a motion made to suspend the rules and place the ordinance on its second reading was lost by the following vote:

Deas Alderman Fischer, Glass, Goeth, Haynes, Nichols, Platt, Powell, Predd, Townsend, Rosengren, Shelley, Taylor, Townsend and Gilkes 14
Ayes Alderman Stumpf 1.

Mayor authorized to ap- Alderman Powell moved that the Mayor be authorized to appoint a point a temporary W.S. Com- temporary Water and Light Committee to operate the plant, who to operate the plant, who shall be authorized to employ a Superintendent, temporarily, which employ a Dept. temporarily motion prevailed.

Ord. appropriating \$730.00 On Alderman Predd, an ordinance appropriating the sum of \$730.00 to repair the bridge over Newings branch in South Austin. Repair bridge over Newings Branch The ordinance was passed under suspension of the rules by the following vote:

Deas Alderman Fischer, Glass, Goeth, Haynes, Nichols, Platt, Powell, Predd, Townsend, Rosengren, Shelley, Stumpf, Taylor, Townsend & Gilkes 15.
Ayes None.

Alderman John Sanders On motion of Alderman Gilkes, Sanders and Fischer the Fischer appointed temporary Water and Light Committee provided for in the resolution of Alderman Powell.

On motion of Deas, the motion was adjourned.

Jno. C. Johnson
C. P. S. M.